Wartime Ties and the Social Logic of Crime

Sarah Zukerman Daly* Laura Paler† Cyrus Samii‡ ${\rm April} \ 3, \ 2019$

Abstract

While ex-combatant reintegration is vital to successful transitions from war to peace, some former fighters turn to crime following demobilization. Leading theories of crime participation emphasize the role of both economic and social factors. Yet, we still know little about the social logic of ex-combatant criminality and how social and economic factors relate as drivers of crime participation. This paper presents a theory and test of how wartime social ties—namely vertical ties to former commanders and horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers—influence excombatant crime on their own and via their relationship to economic opportunity costs. We test predictions in the context of Colombia, combining administrative data with high-quality original survey data. We find that both vertical and horizontal wartime ties are powerful drivers of ex-combatant criminality, independent of material conditions. Our evidence indicates that wartime ties mitigate the risks of criminal behavior by facilitating the transmission of criminal capabilities and pro-crime social norms. These findings underscore the importance of wartime ties—both vertical and horizontal—to understanding post-conflict transitions and designing reintegration interventions.

Words in text: 9,985

 $^{^*{\}it Assistant Professor},$ Columbia University.

[†]Assistant Professor, University of Pittsburgh.

[‡]Associate Professor, New York University. Authors contributed equally and are listed in alphabetical order. We thank Carolina Serrano for excellent research assistance and the team at Fundación Ideas para la Paz (FIP) for their support of the project. We also thank the Support Mission to the Peace Process of the Organization of American States (MAPP-OAS), the Colombian Reintegration Agency (ACR), the Colombian Attorney General's office, and the National Penitenciary Institute (INPEC) for their cooperation. For helpful discussions, the authors thank Deniz Aksoy, Ana Arjona, Ethan Bueno de Mesquita, Joseph Felter, Oliver Kaplan, Josh Kertzer, George Krause, Enzo Nussio, Burcu Savun, and seminar participants at APSA, MPSA, the Princeton Empirical Studies of Conflict Workshop, MIT, NYU, UCLA, the University of Pittsburgh, and the University of Chicago. Daly acknowledges funding from the Swedish Foreign Ministry, the Smith Richardson Foundation, and the Carroll L. Wilson Award.

1 Introduction

Reintegrating former combatants into civilian life is of central importance to transitions from war to peace. Preventing re-militarization and a return to civil conflict often requires the economic, political, and social reintegration of former combatants (UN, 2000; Muggah, 2009; Soderstrom, 2015). Consequently, disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration (DDR) programs in numerous countries seek to facilitate such transitions by providing training and employment opportunities, community reconciliation programs, and psychological support for former fighters, among other interventions (Humphreys and Weinstein, 2007; Gilligan, Mvukiyehe and Samii, 2013). With such assistance, many former combatants integrate into a civilian life in good standing with the state.

Yet, other ex-combatants do not complete such a transition, and instead use their experience and skills as wartime specialists in violence to illicit ends. While reintegration 'failure' is often conceived of as re-militarization (Daly, 2016; Themner, 2015), there is growing concern about another possible manifestation: crime. Crime is distinct from re-militarization in that it encompasses any actions deemed illegal by the state, not just a return to armed conflict. Investigating the factors that drive ex-combatants into crime is critical to understanding successful transitions to legality for an important population that has been the focus of extensive academic and policymaker attention. Moreover, while ex-combatants are not necessarily significant contributors to high overall crime rates (Nussio, 2018), such knowledge can still help to contribute to law, order, and stability in post-conflict societies (Collier, 1994; Call, 2007).

Political science has only recently started to focus on crime alongside its traditional interest in conflict (Kaplan and Nussio, 2016; Kalyvas, 2015), and can draw upon research in economics, sociology, and criminology for insights into the drivers of ex-combatant criminal behavior. A prominent explanation for crime centers on the role of an individual's economic opportunity costs. A vast body of research on the economics of crime, following in the tradition of Becker (1968), posits that individuals engage in criminal behavior when the expected material benefits exceed the costs, including the material opportunity costs of legal sector employment. In contrast, research in sociology roots the decision to engage in crime in social factors. This approach suggests that individuals enter into crime not solely (or principally) for individualistic material reasons but rather because their

social environment enables it, for instance by transmitting norms that condone criminal behavior (Akers, 1998; Sutherland, 1947; Warr, 2002) or by serving as a resource that provides criminal knowledge and skills (Sutherland, 1947; Ballester, Calvo-Armengol and Zenou, 2006). Such social considerations seem particularly applicable to former combatants, who often retain enduring ties to former commanders and combatant peers following demobilization.

While both social and economic factors are plausibly critical drivers of crime, there are important unanswered questions as to how they explain ex-combatant criminality. For one, these two logics of crime are often studied in isolation with respect to reintegration. As such, a more systematic investigation of the extent to which these are competing or, in fact, complementary explanations for ex-combatant crime is needed. Moreover, while the economic incentives for crime have received extensive attention from academics and policy-makers, there remains room for greater clarity on the social logic of criminality as it applies to ex-combatants. This includes a better understanding of the role played by vertical ties to former commanders versus horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers; when wartime ties will pull ex-combatants towards crime versus away from it; and the mechanisms by which wartime ties influence crime participation.

This paper aims to provide a theory and test of the social logic of ex-combatant criminality that can also help to shed light on the relationship between social and economic explanations for ex-combatant crime. To structure our empirical investigation we first develop a simple theoretical framework in which an ex-combatant deciding whether to engage in crime weighs the costs and benefits, where vertical ties to former commanders and horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers modify the benefits of legal employment and the risks associated with criminal activity. Our model yields predictions on how, when, and why wartime ties pull ex-combatants towards crime. This framework is quite general and could help to guide empirical inquiry in any number of post-conflict countries.

We then use this framework to investigate the determinants of ex-combatant criminality in Colombia, a country that has experienced one of the longest and bloodiest civil wars in modern history and where crime has been an endemic part of the transition to peace. Colombia is also a highly relevant case because both economic and social factors plausibly play an important role in post-war criminality. Moreover, the 2016 peace deal between the Colombian government and the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) underscores the exigency of investigating the determinants of post-demobilization criminality among former combatants.

A central obstacle to studying illegality in any country arises from the challenges of data collection. While administrative data on arrests and convictions exist, they are often imperfect (Blattman et al., 2016). Moreover, many of those who resort to crime remain beyond the detection of the criminal justice system. We therefore opt to supplement confidential administrative data from the Colombian Attorney General's office with data from an original survey conducted with a random sample of 1,158 demobilized combatants in their communities as well as in prison. Our survey methods employed strategies for minimizing sampling and response bias, yielding what we believe is the highest quality survey data collected to date for studying criminality in an ex-combatant population. Indeed, the data suggests that our survey provides a more complete picture of criminality than the administrative data alone; whereas the administrative data indicates that 11 percent of our ex-combatant population has engaged in crime, the combined data shows participation levels of 24 percent. We use this data to undertake an observational analysis, where causal identification of the effects of wartime ties and economic opportunity costs relies on a covariate control strategy. The credibility of our approach derives from also having a large number of available controls from the survey and from using sensitivity analysis to check the robustness of our results.

We find that wartime ties are a powerful driver of criminal behavior among demobilized combatants in Colombia. In particular, we show that vertical ties to former commanders are strongly associated with ex-combatant criminality. Moreover, whereas horizontal wartime ties could help to keep ex-combatants out of legality by facilitating legal employment or transmitting anti-crime social norms, we find instead that such peer ties also pull ex-combatants into crime. The evidence is consistent with a logic by which strong horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers mitigate the risks associated with criminal behavior. Further investigation into how horizontal ties affect criminal risk indicates that they both enhance criminal capacities and transmit pro-crime social norms that increase risk acceptance, although we find evidence that the former channel predominates. Finally, while we theorize how economic opportunity costs could moderate or mediate the effect of wartime ties on crime, we find little indication that economic factors influence crime participation. While this is surprising in light of both theory and the Colombia case, this result only serves to reinforce the importance of wartime ties to ex-combatant criminality, independent of economic opportunity costs.

This paper makes several contributions to research on crime and ex-combatant reintegration.

Above all, it provides insights into the social logic of ex-combatant criminality and highlights the need to pay greater attention to the role of wartime ties in explaining ex-combatant criminality. There is already substantial evidence that social ties and group cohesion are critical factors in recruitment into conflict and the conduct of civil wars (Wood, 2008; Parkinson, 2013; Staniland, 2012), but few studies to date have examined how wartime ties evolve following demobilization (for exceptions see Themner, 2013, 2015; Daly, 2016). Other recent research demonstrates how civil wars affect post-conflict crime and violence (Deglow, 2016; Rivera, 2016), but with little attention to the role of wartime ties. Our study helps to bridge these literatures by explaining how the wartime ties that emerge from conflict shape a vital post-conflict outcome.

In doing so, this paper highlights the importance of taking seriously the role not only of vertical but also of horizontal wartime ties. Much of the research on wartime ties and ex-combatant reintegration has focused on the possible risks posed by the maintenance of strong vertical ties between former commanders and their foot soldiers (Spear, 2002). Yet, the results presented here shed new light on how and why horizontal ties play a powerful and independent role in either influencing criminal behavior or keeping ex-combatants in legality. Overall, our findings have important implications for thinking about how to design reintegration interventions, which we discuss further in the conclusion.

2 Theoretical Framework

It is widely appreciated that both economic and social factors can play a role in criminal behavior. Yet, important questions remain as to how vertical ties to former commanders and horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers influence crime participation on their own and in relation to economic opportunity costs. In what follows, we address these questions through the lens of a model of social ties and ex-combatant criminality. We focus on social and economic factors because of their prominence as explanations for criminal behavior and their relevance to the design of reintegration programs.¹

¹Research on crime among war veterans highlights other possible determinants, such as psychological trauma (for a review see Friel, White and Hull, 2008). We do not theorize their role in order to keep our analytic framework tractable, although we control for such other possible drivers of crime

A prominent explanation for criminality centers on the role of individual economic opportunity costs. This logic follows Becker (1968), who posits that individuals opt for crime if the individual material benefits exceed what can be obtained in the legal sector, taking into account risks associated with capture. Unemployment, low legal sector wages, and low levels of human capital can all increase the relative attractiveness of crime on this basis. Numerous studies provide evidence consistent with this story (for a review, see Draca and Machin, 2015). These opportunity cost arguments also apply to conflict participation. For instance, Dube and Vargas (2013) show that increases in the price of labor intensive agricultural goods reduced conflict in Colombia, presumably due to labor market stimulation. Consequently, post-conflict interventions often focus on improving economic opportunities (Gilligan, Mvukiyehe and Samii, 2013; Muggah, 2009). Blattman and Annan (2016) provide evidence that such programs can be effective, showing that agricultural training and capital inputs reduced illicit logging, mining, and mercenary activity by high-risk men (including ex-combatants) in Liberia.

Alternatively, criminality research in sociology and criminology has long focused on social ties. This literature emphasizes the importance of ties to 'instigators' who hold influential positions within their social networks and who possess the will and ability to engage in crime and to recruit others into crime (Warr, 2002). Such theories also stress the role of peer ties—for instance among individuals in the same neighborhood or school—to criminal behavior (Akers, 1998; Haynie, 2001; Warr, 2002). Some theories consider how horizontal ties strengthen the capacity to engage in crime by transmitting knowledge on how to commit crime or evade capture (Ballester, Calvo-Armengol and Zenou, 2006; Sutherland, 1947). Other theories emphasize how horizontal ties transmit norms that define criminal behavior as acceptable or even desirable, awarding status to group members based on their criminality or generating social costs for non-participation (Akers, 1998; Sutherland, 1947; Warr, 2002). Peer ties can affect not only the ability to engage in crime but also the motivation

in our analysis (see Section 4 and Appendix H).

²Alternatively, social bond theory views employment and education as commitments to 'conventional' activities that could constrain criminal behavior (Hirschi, 1969; Thyne and Schroeder, 2012; Rivera, 2016). Regardless of whether one believes these factors capture economic opportunity costs or social constraints, they lead to similar basic predictions in our theoretical framework.

to do so. 3

There are good reasons for concern about how wartime ties and economic conditions among ex-combatants interact in ways that are conducive to criminality. Ex-combatants are connected by powerful bonds reinforced during war (Parkinson, 2013; Staniland, 2012; Wood, 2008). Excombatants often maintain their factional relations and identities long after demobilization, as Daly (2016) shows to be the case for locally-recruited armed groups in Colombia. At the same time, ex-combatant identity may be stigmatized, leading to discrimination in the legal sector. Moreover, ex-combatants are specialists in violence and clandestine activity, meaning they possess and share skills especially suited to crime (Muggah, 2009).

In what follows, we propose a model that integrates economic opportunity costs and wartime ties in characterizing the circumstances that ex-combatants face vis-à-vis opportunities to engage in crime. The model can be found in its entirety in Appendix A. We use the model to structure our empirical analysis of criminality among ex-combatants in Colombia.

A model of ex-combatant criminality

We model an agency relationship between a former commander who seeks to organize criminal activity and a potential ex-combatant recruit.⁵ In deciding how much effort to allocate to crime, the recruit weighs the potential material benefits of crime against foregone opportunities in the legal sector and the risks of capture or punishment. Foregone legal sector opportunities are income, legal employment, and education. Risks include the probability of getting caught—which are determined by legal sector capacity and policing levels—and of getting harmed, for instance in the course of

³Social ties to friends, family, or other community members could either counteract the pull of wartime ties and act as constraints on criminal behavior (Hirschi, 1969), or could draw excombatants into crime through other channels. We control for the strength of non-wartime ties in the analysis.

⁴Whether wartime ties remain strong likely depends on factors such as unit cohesiveness, individual versus collective demobilization, or geographic clustering following demobilization. We include such factors as controls in the analysis to minimize potential confounding (see Appendix H).

⁵Our approach is thus better-suited to explaining participation in organized or gang-related crime.

violent interactions with competing criminal gangs.

Wartime ties enter into the cost-benefit calculation of crime in the following ways in our setup. First, horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers can pull ex-combatants towards crime by mitigating the costs or risks associated with criminal behavior. This is consistent with the notion that horizontal ties could strengthen criminal capacities by providing skills and knowledge helpful to evading capture or make ex-combatants more willing to accept the risks of criminal activity by fostering pro-crime social norms. Yet, the model also allows horizontal ties, through the same mechanisms, to pull ex-combatants away from crime by enhancing their capabilities to participate in the legal sector or by conveying anti-crime social norms. This setup thus captures the fact that strong peer ties can combine either to orient an ex-combatant towards criminality or legality. Ultimately, whether horizontal ties pull towards criminality depends on which effects dominate and is a matter for empirical investigation.

The strength of *vertical* ties to former commanders also matter to an ex-combatant's decision. The commander's wage offer depends on the recruit's expected return to crime (relative to legal sector alternatives) and the risk associated with recruiting a particular ex-combatant. Stronger vertical ties between a commander and ex-combatant lower the former's own risk because they imply greater knowledge of a recruit's abilities and trustworthiness. Commanders also prefer horizontally well-connected ex-combatants insofar as those connections help to mitigate crime risks to both the recruit and the commander. Thus, commanders prefer vertically and horizontally connected ex-combatants, especially for riskier types of crime.

The model yields several predictions to guide empirical investigation. The first set of predictions develop the social logic of ex-combatant criminality. First, insofar as there are criminal commanders, stronger vertical ties between criminal commanders and former combatants should increase criminality. Second, the model yields predictions on when horizontal ties will have the net effect of pulling ex-combatants towards or away from crime. Specifically, horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers will result in less criminal effort if they primarily facilitate legal economic opportunities or transmit anti-crime social norms. This possibility is consistent with evidence that wartime ties can play a positive role in facilitating ex-combatant reintegration (de Vries and Wiegink, 2011; Annan and Cutter, 2009). Conversely, horizontal ties will result in more criminal effort if such relations primarily mitigate criminal risk, either by enhancing criminal capacities or transmitting pro-crime

social norms. The model also provides insights into the potentially complex relationship between vertical and horizontal wartime ties. We predict a negative interaction between vertical and horizontal ties if the latter generate legal sector opportunities that far outweigh their role in reducing criminal risk for both ex-combatants and commanders. Alternatively, we predict a positive interaction insofar as both types of ties primarily mitigate the costs associated with criminal activity, especially for riskier types of crime like violent crime.

Insofar as horizontal ties facilitate crime by mitigating criminal risk, our framework further sheds light on mechanisms, specifically whether this is due to enhancing criminal capabilities or transmitting pro-crime social norms. If wartime ties primarily have a capacity-enhancing effect, then a criminal commander would have to offer a well-connected recruit a higher wage to pull him into crime. In contrast, if horizontal ties operate through a *norms* mechanism—strengthening the motivation to participate in crime by transmitting norms and conferring social rewards for engaging in crime—the stronger an ex-combatant's horizontal ties, the *lower* the commander's criminal wage offer would have to be. The model thus identifies a way—by examining the commander's wage offer—to disentangle whether horizontal ties facilitate criminality by enhancing criminal capabilities or transmitting pro-crime social norms.

The second set of predictions clarify whether wartime ties and economic opportunity costs offer competing or complementary explanations for ex-combatant crime. The model returns the conventional prediction from the economics of crime literature that the higher the opportunity costs of crime—meaning the better an ex-combatant's employment, economic, or education situation following demobilization—the less likely they are to engage in criminal behavior. This prediction enables us to investigate the explanatory power of economic opportunity costs vis-a-vis wartime ties. Yet, the theoretical approach also provides insights into how these logics can be complementary. For one, the discussion above demonstrates how economic factors could be mediating channels in a social theory of crime. A final implication of the model, however, is that there is also a conditional relationship between economic opportunity costs and horizontal ties. In contexts where ex-combatants enjoy better economic well-being for any reason—e.g. because of reintegration program successes in providing employment and training opportunities—the higher economic opportunity costs should attenuate the adverse effects of horizontal wartime ties. Specifically, we predict a negative interaction between economic opportunity costs and horizontal ties reflecting

the fact that, as legal sector opportunities become more rewarding, any draw that horizontal ties produce towards criminality should diminish.

We note that the model assumes that former commanders play the role of 'instigators.' Such a role follows from the nature of commanders' wartime experience and their status relative to lower-ranking ex-combatants (Daly, 2014; Themner, 2013). Former commanders may turn to crime if their ex-combatant identities are stigmatized in the legal sector, as discussed above. Commanders may also cherish opportunities to recover status and power that was lost due to demobilization (Levitt and Venkatesh, 2000; Themner, 2015). Some have argued that the successful reintegration of ex-combatants requires breaking up command-and-control structures (Spear, 2002). That said, not all former commanders turn to crime—indeed, some reintegrate successfully and even help to facilitate the reintegration of their former soldiers (Themner, 2015). Our empirical analysis below assesses commanders' roles as instigators by examining the effects of strong vertical ties on lower-ranking ex-combatants' criminality.

Our model proposes that horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers increase criminality when the effect on crime risks outweighs any positive effects on legal employment opportunities. Whether horizontal ties contribute to a pro-crime balance of effects will depend on contextual factors, such as the degree to which ex-combatants face stigmatization and discrimination. We discuss evidence for ex-combatant stigmatization in Colombia in the context section below and return to a discussion of other relevant contextual factors in Section 6.

3 Context

We examine criminality with data on paramilitaries and guerrillas who demobilized as of 2012 in Colombia. The contemporary Colombian conflict has its roots in La Violencia, the civil war that raged from 1948-1958 between the Liberal and Conservative Parties. In the 1960s, left-wing guerrilla organizations like the FARC and the National Liberation Army (ELN) emerged. With the introduction of the drug economy to Colombia in the late 1970s and the adoption of kidnapping

⁶While the small number of commanders in our sample makes it difficult to investigate the factors that drive their crime participation, we do so to the best of our abilities in Appendix M.2.

and extortionary financing tactics, the guerrillas began to pose a serious threat to the military, landowning elite, drug barons and political class. Accordingly, these diverse sectors of society formed regional paramilitary forces. Over the course of the subsequent decades, both the rebels and militias extended their power over nearly the entire country. The conflict has left over 220,000 dead in its wake and displaced 4.7 million (Grupo de Memoria Histórica, 2013).

In 2002, Alvaro Uribe won the Colombian presidency and commenced a process of negotiation with the paramilitary leaders, resulting in peace accords between the government and each of the 37 paramilitary groups and the disarming of their 31,870 combatants between 2003 and 2006. Concurrently, Uribe continued an individual demobilization process whereby paramilitary and guerrilla combatants could desert their armed groups and receive amnesty and reintegration benefits. Between 2003 and the present, 29,238 paramilitaries and guerrillas disarmed under this process, bringing the total number of registered demobilized combatants in Colombia to over 58,000. Following the historic peace accords signed between the Santos administration and the FARC in November 2016, an additional 7,300 FARC guerrillas have demobilized. The peace agreements have been accompanied by a comprehensive DDR program to help transition ex-combatants back into civilian life (Daly, 2016).

Ex-combatant reintegration has had some limitations on its success, however, and some excombatants have turned to crime either as individuals or with gangs. The economic opportunity
cost logic is highly relevant in this context, where illegality can be a result of poor economic opportunities. Estimates from our survey (described below) indeed suggest high levels of perceived
stigmatization among ex-combatants that could reflect limited legal sector opportunities. For example, when asked whether they thought they would face negative discrimination in applying for
jobs, 84 percent indicated 'definitely' or 'probably' yes. This has important implications for the
function of wartime ties: the wartime ties that bind ex-combatants may convey an identity that
undermines, rather than increases, legal sector opportunities.

The emergence of criminal gangs is consistent with this logic. Since 2005, Colombia's security landscape has become populated with criminal gangs (bandas criminales emergentes or BACRIM)

⁷The ex-guerrillas in our study are those who demobilized voluntarily before the 2017 accord.

⁸This figure is current as of March 2017.

comprising re-militarized paramilitaries, narco-trafficking entities, and guerrillas. The BACRIM are widely viewed as the most serious threat to contemporary Colombian security and they are thought to be responsible for the sharp uptick in massacres, rape, extortion, assassinations, kidnappings, displacement, and trafficking in recent years (Human Rights Watch, 2010).

Addressing questions about how to prevent violence and criminality is of particular relevance in Colombia at present as FARC combatants begin to reintegrate, and the government engages in peace talks with the ELN rebel armies. Moreover, due to the timeliness of this research for Colombia, we were afforded the rare opportunity to collect data on criminality with significant collaboration from the Colombian Government and international organizations.

4 Empirical Methods

We test our hypotheses using administrative and original survey data from 1,158 demobilized combatants representing both right- and left-wing armed groups in Colombia. The administrative data comes from a confidential dataset obtained from the office of the Fiscalía (attorney general) that contained current information on former combatants who had been charged or convicted of criminal activities. The survey data serves as an important complement to the administrative data and provides not only our measures of the correlates of criminality and a rich set of controls but also self-reported data on criminal behavior.⁹

In combining the administrative and survey data, we aim to mitigate the sources of bias that could arise from relying on either type of data alone. Administrative data on crime can be subject to significant error, especially when arrests or convictions under-report true criminal behavior (Blattman et al., 2016). Survey data, however, can suffer from sampling and misreporting biases, especially when trying to interview a hard-to-reach population on a sensitive topic. We aimed to minimize sampling bias through careful recruitment and selection procedures and reporting bias by using a self-administered survey to elicit more truthful responses. Our main results are based on weighted least squares regressions with municipality fixed effects, following the guidance of Beck

⁹This study was conducted in 2013 in collaboration with Fundación Ideas para la Paz (FIP) and implemented by trained enumerators working for a reputable survey firm.

(2015) for robust inference with fixed effects. See Appendix Section I for details. The subsections below explain the sample and variables used in the regression analyses.

The sample

A central challenge in studying criminality includes collecting data on a hard-to-reach population, insofar as those demobilized combatants who are most likely to be criminal also may have disassociated with the reintegration agency or may be in prison. We took several steps to obtain a representative sample of the demobilized population (for more detail, see Appendix B). To construct as complete a sampling frame as possible, we gained access to a database of the entire population of ex-combatants who had surrendered their weapons and demobilized. Our study was conducted in 2012, shortly after the passage of Law 1424 in 2010, which mandated that all ex-combatants participate in the reintegration program in exchange for a suspension of their judicial sentences. This law created robust positive incentives for the previously hidden population of demobilized combatants to become 'locatable' to the reintegration agency and thus enter our sampling frame. Our sampling frame was likely the best that one could obtain in Colombia for studying ex-combatants.

We used this database of all demobilized combatants to construct a list of municipalities in Colombia that had at least 50 ex-combatants and that were accessible to the OAS Peace Mission (MAPP-OEA).¹¹ We collaborated with the MAPP-OEA because it is an international organization charged with verifying and monitoring the 2005 peace agreement and had a great deal of credibility among ex-combatants. Of the 136 municipalities with 50-or-more ex-combatants, 83 were covered by the MAPP-OEA and from these we sampled 47.¹² We then drew a random sample of these

¹⁰We estimate that 4110 ex-combatants came forward following the passage of Law 1424, while 9,922 ex-combatants (that is, 19 percent of the total that had demobilized) remained non-locatable by the reintegration agency.

¹¹While this choice makes it more difficult to assess the generalizability of our results to all municipalities, it was done for practical purposes and implies a coverage lost of only 15 percent of the ex-combatant population.

¹²MAPP-OEA operated in areas with the highest density of ex-combatants, which included municipalities that experienced the most crime following demobilization.

participants, stratifying on former armed group, demobilization year, criminal charges, department of residence, and registration after Law 1424.

We also randomly sampled 268 individuals in prison.¹³ While the prison sample increased our likelihood of including criminal ex-combatants in this study, we emphasize that not all individuals in prison are criminals as some who are arrested and charged are later exonerated. Likewise, those involved in crime do not come exclusively from our prison sample, insofar as some criminal ex-combatants were already released while others were never captured in the first place.

Measuring criminality

We define as criminal any activity that is deemed illegal by the Colombian penal code.¹⁴ Obtaining reliable survey data on criminal activity presented the challenge of eliciting honest responses to highly sensitive questions. We thus obtained our measures using a self-administered survey accompanied by an elaborate confidentiality procedure to protect respondent identity. There is substantial evidence that self-administered surveys elicit more truthful reporting on sensitive behaviors than enumerated surveys (Tourangeau and Yan, 2007). Using a self-administered survey also enabled us to measure more aspects of illegality more precisely than could easily be accommodated by list experiments and other indirect questioning techniques.¹⁵ For details on the confidentiality procedures surrounding the self-administered survey, see Appendix C.

We employ two versions of the criminality outcome variable, with summary statistics presented in Table 1. First, we construct a binary measure of "proven" illegality by combining the administrative and survey measures, coding as criminal any respondent who is classified as criminal by at

¹³In the analysis that follows we pool data from the prison and non-prison samples and use appropriate sampling weights.

¹⁴The penal code can be found at https://www.oas.org/dil/esp/Codigo_Penal_Colombia.pdf (last accessed March 20, 2017). Our sample most commonly self-reports crimes such as conspiracy, carrying arms, robbery, assault, and drug trafficking (see Appendix F).

¹⁵List experiments, for instance, can be noisy and generally accommodate only one sensitive item per experiment. Our self-administered survey contained nearly 30 sensitive items. For a review article on the advantages of different sensitive question survey techniques, see Tourangeau and Yan, 2007.

least one of the two data sources. A respondent is coded as criminal according to the administrative data if they have been *convicted*, which corresponds to about 11 percent of our population. We believe this is the most defensible way to operationalize illicit behavior given that a number of those arrested or charged are later exonerated. We determine that a respondent is criminal according to the survey if they self-report having engaged in criminal activity on their own or with a gang or stated the nature of at least one crime committed (see Appendix D for question wordings). As shown in Table 1, according to the survey data, about 20 percent of our population is criminal. We interpret the fact that the survey yielded more reports of criminal behavior than the administrative data as evidence that we succeeded, at least in part, to obtain data on ex-combatants that have eluded the criminal justice system. Combining the administrative and survey data yields 353 ex-combatants in our sample who are criminal, corresponding to about 24 percent of our population. Further disaggregating this, about 36 percent of former commanders are engaged in crime compared to about 22 percent of rank-and-file ex-combatants.

Table 1: Summary Statistics for DVs

	Mean	\overline{SD}	Min	Max	Count
Panel A: 'Proven' criminality					
Convicted (admin. data)	0.11	0.31	0	1	1158
Criminal (surv. data)	0.20	0.40	0	1	1158
As an individual	0.10	0.30	0	1	1158
With a gang	0.05	0.21	0	1	1158
Reported type of crime(s)	0.20	0.40	0	1	1158
Proven criminality (survey + admin)	0.24	0.43	0	1	1158
Panel B: Committed violent crime					
Violent crime (combines two measures below)	0.31	0.63	0	2	1158
Violent crime as an individual	0.25	0.56	0	2	1158
Violent crime with a gang	0.20	0.52	0	2	1158

Summary statistics are weighted to the population.

Second, we create a composite measure of engagement in violent crime from two survey questions that ask those who have engaged in crime how often their criminal activities involved violence. We code those who have not engaged in any crime following demobilization as zero, those who have engaged in individual and/or gang crime that was never violent as one; and those who engaged

¹⁶See Appendix G for more on the extent to which our survey identified a 'hidden' population of ex-combatant criminals.

in individual and/or gang crime that involved at least some violence as two. The mean of our violent crime scale is .31, indicating that about 12 percent of our ex-combatant population—and 47 percent of our criminal ex-combatant population—has taken part in violent crime.

Explanatory variables

The main measures for our explanatory variables come from the enumerated survey, with question wordings and summary statistics available in Appendices D and E, respectively. To ensure that our explanatory variables are measured temporally prior to any criminal activity following demobilization, we continually prompted all respondents to answer the relevant survey questions as they pertained to their lives one year following demobilization. We selected this time point because piloting suggested that ex-combatants were readily able to recall their living conditions on the one year anniversary of their demobilization and because official data indicated that former combatants rarely committed crimes within the first year.¹⁷ To minimize concerns about recall bias, where possible we limited ourselves to direct questions about objective conditions or highly salient circumstances that piloting suggested were easy for respondents to remember. Asking about this first year also enhances the policy relevance of our analysis, as reintegration programs typically offer the most extensive assistance in the year or two immediately following demobilization. We note that our analysis cannot account for variable shocks over time (e.g. changes to economic conditions following demobilization); doing so would require panel data.

The first hypothesis proposes that those with greater economic opportunity costs will be less likely to engage in criminal behavior. We measure economic well-being one year following demobilization using measures of employment, education, and inverse covariance-weighted averages of objective and subjective economic welfare indicators. The index of objective well-being combines 12 measures of income, household conditions, and asset ownership, whereas the index of subjective well-being employs three measures about satisfaction with one's economic situation one year following demobilization.

¹⁷Forty-four respondents in our sample admit to having committed crimes within the first year of demobilization. All results are robust to excluding these respondents (see Appendix J).

¹⁸We use inverse covariance weighting to create all indices in this paper (see Anderson, 2008).

Our social hypotheses propose that those with stronger vertical ties to commanders and horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers will be more likely to engage in crime. We test these using measures of the extent to which a former combatant maintained active relations with other combatants and commanders one year following demobilization. We create an index of strong vertical ties to former commanders using six survey measures that inquire into regularity of communication, how quickly a former combatant could get a message to his former commander(s), and whether a respondent would lend money to his former commander(s) if asked, which is widely used as a measure of trust or obligation. Our index of horizontal ties to other combatants comprises seven measures that capture the proportion of a respondent's friends who are combatants; the amount of time spent with other combatants; and the likelihood that a respondent would turn to a combatant for help in an emergency.

Control variables

Our analysis makes use of 123 controls obtained from the enumerated survey to mitigate concerns about omitted variable bias. Our strategy is to control for all factors that could be responsible for a spurious association between our social and economic variables of interest and post-war criminality. To that end, all analysis (unless otherwise specified) incorporates municipality fixed effects such that we are estimating how economic opportunity costs and social ties influence ex-combatant criminality within municipalities. Thus, insofar as there are municipality-level characteristics that could explain ex-combatant criminality—such as crime levels during the conflict, coca production suitability, or law enforcement capacity—we are controlling for them.

Our analysis also incorporates a large number of individual-level controls. These include: initial motivations for joining an armed group; conflict experience and fighting group characteristics

¹⁹Specifically we ask about ex-combatants' relations to their immediate superior and to their superior's superior. This means that, for the rank-and-file in our sample, they were asked about relations with mid-level commanders (see Appendix D).

²⁰If respondents were reluctant to admit strong ties to former commanders or wartime peers due to social desirability bias we would underestimate the importance of wartime networks to criminal activity.

(duration and intensity of fighting experience and unit hierarchy and cohesion); the nature of demobilization (individual or collective); reintegration program participation; strength of family and community ties (as a possible counterpart to wartime ties) following demobilization; and demographic factors such as age and being a parent, which are often viewed as proxies for social constraints on crime. As our controls are too numerous to discuss in-depth here, we refer readers to Appendices E and H for summary statistics, an extended discussion, and analysis of the association between all controls and crime. To reduce the number of covariates we use in the regressions we again use inverse covariance weighting to combine controls where possible into indices, leaving us with a final set of 25 control indices and 20 individual covariates.

5 Main Results

Wartime ties and crime

We first examine the impact of wartime ties on criminal behavior, with results presented in Panel A of Table 2. A foundational prediction of the model is that, insofar as former commanders recruit into crime, ex-combatants who maintain strong vertical ties to those commanders should be more likely to engage in crime following demobilization. We obtain strong evidence that this is indeed the case, finding that a one standard deviation increase in the vertical ties index translates into a six percentage point greater likelihood of criminal behavior (column 1). This result remains significant at the 95 percent confidence level when including all controls. Similarly, a one standard deviation change in the vertical ties index is associated with a .11 unit change in the violent crime scale (column 3), again with a similar result when all controls are included.

With respect to horizontal wartime ties, one of the main predictions is that strong connections to ex-combatant peers could pull ex-combatants away from crime if they facilitate legal sector opportunities or transmit anti-crime social norms. Conversely, intact horizontal networks could pull ex-combatants towards crime if they mitigate criminal risk by enhancing criminal capacities or pro-crime social norms. While this underscores that horizontal ties could either have positive or negative effects on reintegration, our results in Table 2 provide clear evidence that, in our context, horizontal ties are associated overall with a *greater* likelihood of criminal behavior, including violent

Table 2: Wartime Ties and Crime

	'Proven' criminal		Violen	t crime
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Panel A: Main Results				
Vert. ties	0.06***	0.05*	0.11***	0.08**
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Horiz. ties	0.07***	0.05^{*}	0.16***	0.15***
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Panel B: Interaction R	egressions			
Vert. ties	0.06**	0.04*	0.09**	0.06*
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Horiz. ties	0.07***	0.05^{*}	0.16***	0.14***
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Horiz. \times vert. ties	0.01	0.01	0.05**	0.04*
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Social F-test (pvalue)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Observations	1158	1158	1158	1158
Clusters	570	570	570	570
Econ controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other controls	No	Yes	No	Yes

Standard errors in parentheses

Weighted least squares with municipality FE and indiv. controls.

Standard errors account for clustering by survey sampling blocks.

crime.

Consistent with this, there is little indication that strong horizontal ties improve legal sector opportunities, which is one of the key theorized channels by which horizontal ties could help to pull ex-combatants away from crime. Table 3 presents results from a regression of our economic opportunity cost measures on the horizontal ties index. The coefficient on the horizontal ties index is near zero in almost all cases and statistically insignificant, demonstrating that strong ties to excombatant peers had little association with economic well-being one year following demobilization. Since this was before ex-combatants in our population entered into crime, we interpret this as evidence that horizontal ties did not improve economic well-being through better legal opportunities.

The model suggests that, insofar as horizontal ties pull ex-combatants into crime, it does so by mitigating the risks associated with criminal activity. To further investigate this, we take advantage of the fact that the model provides a way to disentangle the possible mechanisms behind criminal risk mitigation. Our theoretical framework suggests two possible mechanisms for such risk mitigation: horizontal ties could enhance criminal *capacities*, reducing the chances of capture or

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Table 3: Correlation Between Econ Opportunity Costs and Horizontal Ties

	Employed		Welfare obj.		Welfare subj.		Education	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Horiz. ties	$0.00 \\ (0.02)$	-0.00 (0.02)	$0.06 \\ (0.05)$	$0.00 \\ (0.04)$	-0.00 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.05)	$0.03 \\ (0.06)$	-0.04 (0.03)
Observations Clusters Covariates	1158 570 No	1158 570 Yes	1158 570 No	1158 570 Yes	1158 570 No	1158 570 Yes	1158 570 No	1158 570 Yes

Standard errors in parentheses

Weighted least squares with municipality FE and indiv. controls.

Standard errors account for clustering by survey sampling blocks.

conviction, or they could convey pro-crime social *norms*, increasing tolerance for the potential costs of criminal activity. Horizontal wartime ties could increase criminal activity through one or both of these mechanisms.

Insofar as horizontal ties provide knowledge and expertise that makes ex-combatants more capable of evading capture, we should observe a stronger link between horizontal ties and criminal behavior among those ex-combatants who remain 'hidden' to the criminal justice system. To examine this, we evaluate how horizontal ties correlate with criminal behavior in the administrative data alone (reflecting results for ex-combatants who did not evade the criminal justice system) versus in the survey data (which includes ex-combatants who did). Indeed, Table 4 shows no association between horizontal ties and criminality in the administrative data (columns 1-2) but a clear positive association in the survey data (columns 3-4). This indicates that ex-combatants who engage in crime and have strong horizontal ties are more likely not to get caught.

We can also check evidence for the capabilities versus norms mechanisms by looking at commander wage offers. Our model predicts that better criminal capabilities should result in higher wage offers to ex-combatant recruits whereas stronger pro-crime social norms should have the opposite effect. To test this proposition, we use data from the self-administered survey to calculate the mean criminal wage offer an ex-combatant received. The results presented in Table 5 shows that horizontal ties are correlated with higher wage offers in the regression without controls (column 1). While the result is no longer significant when controls are added (column 2), the coefficient is still large and positive, indicating that the capabilities mechanism is dominating the norms mechanism with respect to wage offers.

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Table 4: Main results on criminality, social ties, and economic conditions

	Criminal in	n Admin Data	Criminal in Survey Data			
	$(1) \qquad (2)$		(3)	(4)		
Vert. ties	0.04^* (0.02)	0.04^* (0.02)	0.06^{***} (0.02)	0.04^* (0.02)		
Horiz. ties	$0.02 \\ (0.01)$	$0.02 \\ (0.01)$	$0.07^{***} (0.02)$	0.06^* (0.02)		
Observations Clusters Covariates	1158 570 No	1158 570 Yes	1158 570 No	1158 570 Yes		

Standard errors in parentheses

Weighted least squares with municipality FE and indiv. controls.

Standard errors account for clustering by survey sampling blocks.

Table 5: Capacity versus norms mechanisms

	Log v	vages	Criminal norms		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Vert. ties	0.52** (0.20)	0.41** (0.18)	$0.14^{***} $ (0.05)	$0.07 \\ (0.04)$	
Horiz. ties	0.73*** (0.15)	$0.19 \\ (0.15)$	0.20*** (0.06)	0.10* (0.06)	
Observations Clusters	1158 570	1158 570	1158 570	1158 570	
Covariates	No	Yes	No	Yes	

Standard errors in parentheses

Weighted least squares with municipality FE and indiv. controls.

Standard errors account for clustering by survey sampling blocks.

While the capabilities mechanism appears to be dominant, we can also check whether horizontal ties have any effect on transmitting pro-crime social norms. We construct a 'criminal norms' index using six measures from the self-administered survey that capture support for criminal activity under different conditions.²¹ As can be seen in Table 5, there is a positive association between horizontal ties and acceptance of criminal norms (column 3) and this association remains significant at the 90 percent confidence level in the regression with controls (column 4). All in all, the results indicate that horizontal wartime ties are pulling ex-combatants into crime through both capacities and norms mechanism but that, at least in Colombia, the former channel is more powerful.

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

 $^{^{21}\}mathrm{See}$ Appendices D and E for more details.

In finding evidence for the role of horizontal and vertical ties in mitigating risk this result also has important implications for thinking about how these wartime ties should interact. In light of the fact that both vertical and horizontal ties are associated with more crime in our data, these ties should have a complementary effect, especially for riskier types of crime like violent crime. The results in Panel B of Table 2 support this prediction. The coefficient on the interaction of vertical and horizontal is positive and significant but only for our violent crime scale, indicating that these ties do work in a reinforcing way for crime that is riskier in that it could entail harsher punishments or greater chances of personal harm to the perpetrator. These results underscore that, while vertical and horizontal wartime ties serve different functions, they can combine to facilitate even more severe forms of criminal behavior.

Wartime ties and economic opportunity costs

The results presented above provide striking evidence for the importance of both horizontal and vertical ties to criminal behavior. To what extent are economic opportunity costs competing or complementary in explaining criminality? We first test the prediction that higher economic opportunity costs will be associated with lower levels of crime. Interestingly, the results in Table 6 show no evidence that any of our four measures of economic factors—employment status, objective economic well-being, subjective economic welfare, and education—predict criminal behavior in general or violent crime in particular. The coefficients are close to zero and none of the measures are statistically significant at the 95 percent confidence level; neither are they jointly significant according to the F-test.

We also check the extent to which social and economic factors have a complementary relationship in our context. Indeed, above we present evidence that suggests that economic opportunity costs did not *mediate* the relationship between horizontal ties and crime. In other words, there was no indication in the data that horizontal ties reduced criminal behavior by increasing legal employment. We can also see in Table 6 that none of our four measures of economic opportunity costs *moderate* the effect of wartime ties. Whereas the model predicted that higher opportunity costs would diminish any draw that horizontal ties produce towards criminality, none of the interaction terms are negative and significant.

	Table 6:	Interaction	n of econor	mic and so	cial factors	S		
	'Proven' criminal				Violent crime			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Employed	-0.01	-0.00	-0.01	-0.00	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Econ welfare obj. (index)	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Econ welfare subj. (index)	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Education	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Vert. ties	0.05^{*}	0.05^{*}	0.05^{*}	0.05^{*}	0.08**	0.08**	0.08^{*}	0.08**
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Horiz. ties	0.09^{*}	0.05^{*}	0.05^{*}	0.03	0.18**	0.14***	0.15***	0.08
	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.07)
Emp X Horiz. ties	-0.04				-0.04			
	(0.04)				(0.08)			
Econ obj. X Horiz. ties		0.01				0.04		
		(0.02)				(0.03)		
Econ subj X Horiz. ties			0.01				0.02	
			(0.02)				(0.03)	
Educ X Horiz. ties				0.01				0.03
				(0.01)				(0.02)
Econ F-test (p-value)	0.51	0.71	0.64	0.64	0.63	0.39	0.54	0.43
Observations	1158	1158	1158	1158	1158	1158	1158	1158
Clusters	570	570	570	570	570	570	570	570
Covariates	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Standard errors in parentheses Weighted least squares with municipality FE and indiv. controls. Standard errors account for clustering by survey sampling blocks. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

These results are surprising in light of the extensive literature on economic opportunity costs, the predictions from our own model, and the Colombia case. One possibility is that the role of social and economic factors vary for members of Colombia's two distinct fighting groups: left-wing guerrillas and right-wing paramilitaries. Paramilitaries are widely viewed as more mercenary, which could imply a more pronounced sensitivity to economic drivers of crime. They might also exhibit a stronger link between wartime ties and criminality because of collective demobilization, whereas guerrillas—up until the 2016 peace deal—primarily demobilized individually.

Appendix M.1 examines the role of economic and social factors in crime participation and how they vary for paramilitaries. The results show that higher levels of economic welfare are not associated with less criminal activity. The results, however, provide further support for the social logic of crime. Ex-combatants with stronger vertical ties to former commanders were more likely to participate in crime, regardless of fighting group. Yet, interestingly, strong horizontal ties were significantly more likely to pull paramilitaries into crime than guerrillas. This could reflect the fact that paramilitary networks are characterized either by stronger criminal capacities or procrime social norms. At the same time, the results underscore that horizontal ties do not always lead to more crime, as evidenced by the results for former guerrillas. The fact that horizontal ties served different functions for former paramilitaries and guerrillas points to the importance of understanding how the kind of contextual factors discussed above can shape whether horizontal networks pull ex-combatants towards or away from crime, and should be further explored in the context of the collective demobilization of the FARC in 2017.

Robustness checks

We perform a number of additional tests, reported in Appendix J, to check the robustness of our main results. One potential concern is that fighting units that were more engaged in wartime crime could be more likely to have stronger ties following demobilization, resulting in a spurious association between wartime tie strength and criminality. We thus check this by including fighting unit (bloque) fixed effects and the presence of coca production in the area in which a fighting group was operating. We obtain almost identical results when including these as controls.

We also implemented a general analysis of sensitivity to unmeasured confounders (results re-

ported in Appendix K) to investigate how extreme such confounding would have to be in order to overturn the main results (Imbens, 2003). The sensitivity analysis shows that the confounding would have to be severe—similar in magnitude to the predictive power of gender or conflict exposure to overturn our results on wartime ties. Additionally, we use a sensitivity analysis to assess the extent to which our inability to sample ex-combatants that remained "hidden" from the reintegration agency might bias our analysis (see Appendix L). We show that to overturn our results on wartime ties, the effect in the hidden population would have to be of the opposite sign and about an order of magnitude larger.

Appendix J provides further evidence that our main results are robust to alternative ways of coding the dependent variable and to excluding from the analysis ex-combatants who committed crimes within one year of demobilization. We conclude that our data provides robust evidence that ties to former commanders and combatants are key correlates of ex-combatant criminality.

6 Discussion

One of the foundational assumptions of our theoretic framework is that there are criminal commanders seeking to recruit former lower-ranked soldiers into criminal activity. Finding such a strong association between vertical ties and criminality provides support for this assumption in our context. Yet, this is a scope condition of the model. We would not expect to obtain similar predictions or results in contexts where former commanders have successfully reintegrated and have little incentive to recruit their former soldiers into crime or in contexts where organized crime is not prevalent. Nevertheless, the theory and evidence presented here call for renewed attention to policy interventions focused on the pivotal role played by commanders, as we discuss in the conclusion.

In theory, the effect of horizontal ties to ex-combatant peers depends on their role in enhancing legal opportunities versus mitigating the risks of criminality. Among our study population in Colombia, ex-combatants overwhelmingly sensed that their membership in the wartime network conveyed a stigmatized identity. This undermines the function of wartime ties for legal economic opportunities. More generally, whether horizontal wartime ties contribute to or mitigate ex-combatant criminality could depend on a number of contextual factors, such as the operative norms within an ex-combatant social group; the strength of wartime ties relative to other family and community

ties; and the legal economic opportunities available to ex-combatants.

The effect of horizontal ties on criminal behavior could also vary depending on overall crime rates in any given society. Colombia is a relatively high-crime context (De la hoz Bohórquez, 2013), and there is good reason to believe that the mechanisms by which horizontal ties pull excombatants into crime in our model are accentuated in high crime societies. We emphasize that our approach does not allow us to draw inferences about the levels or determinants of crime among non-combatants in high-crime societies, however. We focus on ex-combatants in this paper not because we view them as responsible for high crime rates—indeed there is evidence that they are not (Nussio, 2018)—nor because we seek to draw attention away from other high-risk populations, but because they are an important population that has been the focus of extensive attention and resources and that has some unique features—like wartime ties—that require dedicated theorizing and testing.

Finally, further empirical investigation in different contexts is needed to shed light on the role of economic opportunity costs. Indeed, one unexpected result from our empirical investigation is the lack of any evidence for economic opportunity costs.²² In Appendix N, we provide an extended discussion of possible explanations for the null results on economic opportunity costs observed here, including the possibility that Colombia's reintegration program successfully severed the link between economic insecurity and crime. Economic opportunity costs might play a bigger role in deterring crime in contexts where reintegration programs are less effective at providing economic assistance to ex-combatants.

7 Conclusion

This paper demonstrates that wartime ties have a powerful effect on criminal behavior. It shows that not only vertical ties to former commanders influence crime participation but also horizontal ties to wartime peers can be important drivers of crime participation. Our theory and evidence show that horizontal ties will pull ex-combatants towards crime when their effect on mitigating the risks of criminal activity outweigh their ability to enhance legal opportunities. We also illuminate

²²While surprising, this result is consistent with Kaplan and Nussio (2016).

the mechanisms by which horizontal ties affect criminal behavior, demonstrating that horizontal ties can enhance both criminal capabilities and pro-crime social norms. Finally, our approach sheds light on how economic factors can both mediate and moderate the effect of wartime ties, helping to integrate literatures on reintegration that have largely been distinct.

These results have important implications for thinking about the design of effective reintegration interventions. Above all, the findings call for shifting the paradigm of reintegration programming to consider not only individual material conditions but also social ones, highlighting the importance of developing and testing policy interventions that redirect the function of wartime ties, if not reconfiguring them. While recent randomized evaluations have examined the role of economic interventions (Blattman and Annan, 2016) and cognitive behavioral therapy (Blattman, Jamison and Sheridan, 2017) on criminal behavior in post-conflict countries, to date there are no equivalent evaluations of interventions focused on wartime ties. Examining interventions specifically designed to target social considerations is a critical avenue for future research.

Specifically, this paper highlights the need to think hard about interventions focused on the vertical ties between former commanders and their soldiers. One policy approach could be to focus on the design of interventions that aim to keep former commanders out of illegal or illicit activity. It is widely appreciated that reintegration programs have not devoted sufficient attention or resources to issues of commander reintegration (Nussio, 2018). While our study shows that not all commanders go into crime, our evidence indicates that some clearly do and with broader detrimental effects for others in their networks. Thus the findings presented here reinforce the call for greater attention to identifying the factors that will enable the reintegration of these critical actors and, ultimately, the ex-combatants to whom they are tied.

Equally important, reintegration programs need to take seriously the horizontal ties among ex-combatant peers. Our theoretical approach highlights that strong horizontal ties will keep excombatants out of crime insofar as their ability to enhance legal capabilities or anti-crime social norms outweighs their transmission of criminal capabilities and pro-crime social norms. Thus, it could be beneficial to keep horizontal wartime networks intact as long as they are directed towards positive ends, for instance by using cognitive behavioral therapy to shape social norms within ex-combatant groups. Our findings also underscore that interventions designed to improve legal economic opportunities or change norms could be amplified by targeting individuals who

are central in their wartime peer networks. Finally, while we do not examine directly the role of alternative social ties, our theory suggests that there could also be value in strengthening civilian (non-criminal) social ties insofar as such connections could counteract any pull of wartime networks towards criminality. Ultimately, paying greater attention to the social logic of ex-combatant crime—and to strategies for using that logic to deepen ex-combatant reintegration—could be critical to preventing transitions from combatant to criminal and, ultimately, to facilitating transitions from war to peace.

References

- Akers, Ronald. 1998. Social Learning and Social Structure. Northeastern University Press.
- Anderson, Michael. 2008. "Multiple Inference and Gender Differences in the Effects of Early Intervention."

 Journal of the American Statistical Association 103(484):1481–1495.
- Annan, Jeannie and A. Cutter. 2009. Critical issues and lessons in social reintegration: Balancing justice, psychological well being, and community reconciliation. CIDDR conference, Cartagena.
- Ballester, Coralio, Antoni Calvo-Armengol and Yves Zenou. 2006. "Who's Who in Networks: Wanted: The Key Player." *Econometrica* 74:1403–1417.
- Beck, Nathaniel. 2015. "Estimating Grouped Data Models with a Binary Dependent Variable and Fixed Effects: What Are the Issues?" https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org.
- Becker, Gary. 1968. "Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach." The Journal of Political Economy 76(2):169–217.
- Blattman, C., J. Jamison and M. Sheridan. 2017. "Reducing crime and violence: Experimental evidence from cognitive behavioral therapy in Liberia." *American Economic Review* 107(4):1165.
- Blattman, Chris and Jeannie Annan. 2016. "Can employment reduce lawlessness and rebellion? A field experiment with high-risk men in a fragile state." American Political Science Review 110(1):1–17.
- Blattman, Chris, Julian Jamison, Tricia Koroknay-Palicz, Katherine Rodrigues and Margaret Seridan. 2016. "Measuring the measurement error: A method to qualitatively validate survey data." *Journal of Development Economics* 120:99–112.
- Call, Charles. 2007. Constructing Justice and Security After War. U.S. Institute of Peace Press.
- Collier, Paul. 1994. "Demobilization and Insecurity: A Study in the Economics of the Transition from War to Peace." *Journal of International Development* 6(3):343–351.
- Daly, Sarah Zukerman. 2014. "The Dark Side of Power-Sharing: Middle Managers and Civil War Recurrence." Comparative Politics 46(3):333–353.
- Daly, Sarah Zukerman. 2016. Organized Violence after Civil War: The Geography of Recruitment in Latin America. Cambridge University Press.

De la hoz Bohórquez, Germán Alberto. 2013. Comportamiento del homocidio, Colombia, 2013. Technical report Instituto Nacional de Medecina Legal y Ciencias Forenses.

URL: http://www.medicinalegal.gov.co/documents/20143/49517/Homicidio.pdf

de Vries, Hugo and Nikkie Wiegink. 2011. "Breaking Up and Going Home? Contesting Two Assumptions in the Demobilization and Reintegration of Former Combatants." *International Peacekeeping* 18(1):38–51.

Deglow, Annekatrin. 2016. "Localized legacies of civil war: Postwar violent crime in Northern Ireland." Journal of Peace Research 53(6):786–99.

Draca, M and S Machin. 2015. "Crime and Economic Incentives." Ann. Rev. of Econ. 7:389-408.

Dube, Oendrila and Juan Vargas. 2013. "Commodity Price Shocks and Civil Conflict: Evidence from Colombia." The Review of Economic Studies 80(4):1384–1421.

Friel, Andrea, Tom White and Alastair Hull. 2008. "Posttraumatic stress disorder and criminal responsibility." The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology 19(1):64–85.

Gilligan, Michael, Eric Mvukiyehe and Cyrus Samii. 2013. "Reintegrating Rebels into Civilian Life: Quasi-Experimental Evidence from Burundi." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 57(4):598–626.

Grupo de Memoria Histórica. 2013. "Basta ya! Colombia: memorias de guerra y de dignidad.".

URL: http://www.centrodememoriahistorica.gov.co/micrositios/informeGeneral/

Haynie, Dana. 2001. "Delinquent Peers Revisited: Does Network Structure Matter?" American Journal of Sociology 106(4):1013–1057.

Hirschi, Travis. 1969. Causes of Delinquency. University of California Press.

Human Rights Watch. 2010. "Paramilitaries' Heirs: The New Face of Violence in Colombia.".

URL: https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/reports/colombia0210webwcover1.pdf

Humphreys, Macartan and Jeremy Weinstein. 2007. "Demobilization and Reintegration." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 51(4):531–567.

Imbens, Guido W. 2003. "Sensitivity to Exogeneity Assumptions in Program Evaluation." American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings 93(2):126–132.

Kalyvas, Stathis. 2015. "How Civil Wars Help Explain Crime—And How They Do Not." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 59(8):1517–1540.

- Kaplan, Oliver and Enzo Nussio. 2016. "Explaining Recidivism of Ex-Combatants in Colombia." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 62(1):64–93.
- Levitt, Steven and Sudhir Alladi Venkatesh. 2000. "An Economic Analysis of a Drug-Selling Gang's Finances." Quarterly Journal of Economics 115(3):755–789.
- Muggah, Robert, ed. 2009. Security and Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Dealing with Fighters in the Aftermath of War. Routledge.
- Nussio, Enzo. 2018. "Ex-combatants and violence in Colombia: are yesterday's villains today's principal threat?" Third World Thematics 3(1):135–152.
- Parkinson, Sarah Elizabeth. 2013. "Organizing Rebellion: Rethinking High-Risk Mobilization and Social Networks in War." American Political Science Review 107(3):418–32.
- Rivera, Mauricio. 2016. "The sources of social violence in Latin America: An empirical analysis of homicide rates, 1980-2010." *Journal of Peace Research* 53(1):84–99.
- Soderstrom, Johanna. 2015. Peacebuilding and ex-combatants: Political Reintegration in Liberia. Routledge.
- Spear, Joanna. 2002. Disarmament and Demobilization. In *Ending Civil Wars: The Implementation of Peace Agreements*, ed. J Stedman, D Rothchild and E Cousens. Lynne Rienner.
- Staniland, Paul. 2012. "Organizing Insurgency: Networks, Resources, and Rebellion in South Asia." *International Security* 37(1):142–177.
- Sutherland, Edwin. 1947. Principles of Criminology. 4th edition ed. J.B. Lippincott.
- Themner, Anders. 2013. "A Leap of Faith: When and How Ex-Combatants Resort to Violence." Security Studies 22(2):295–329.
- Themner, Anders. 2015. "Former Military Networks and the Micro-Politics of Violence and Statebuilding in Liberia." Comparative Politics 47(3):334–353.
- Thyne, Clayton and Ryan Schroeder. 2012. "Social Constraints and Civil War: Bridging the Gap with Crimonological Theory." *The Journal of Politics* 74(4):1066–1078.
- Tourangeau, R and T. Yan. 2007. "Sensitive Questions in Surveys." Psychological Bulletin 133(5).
- UN. 2000. Report of the Secretary General on the Role of the United Nations Peacekeeping in Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration. Technical Report S/2000/101 United Nations.

Warr, M. 2002. Companions in Crime: The Social Aspects of Criminal Conduct. Cambridge University.

Wood, Elisabeth Jean. 2008. "The Social Processes of Civil War: The Wartime Transformation of Social Networks." *Annual Review of Political Science* 11:539–61.